Acvanced Vachine Learning For Design

Lecture 7: Train, Evaluate and Integrate Machine Learning Models (part 2)

Module 3

Evangelos Niforatos

01/11/2023

ıml4d-ide@tudelft.nl https://aml4design.github.io



Remarks

- Group Assignment #2 has been graded & Average Peer Assessment #2 scores have been posted
- Group Assignment #3 deadline: Tuesday, Nov. 7, 23:59 followed by Peer Assessment #3 (do not miss the deadline)
- Last tutorial on Friday, Nov. 4:
 - Help with Group Assignment #3
 - Demo and survey of the COALA LLM-Powered Assistant
 - Example exam
- Final Exam: Friday, Nov. 10, 13:30-15:00 at <u>3Me-Hall J (34.D-1-300)</u> (1.5h instead of 3h)

notes, books, laptops, smartphones, smartwatches, smart-glasses allowed --- bring a calculator

- N=38 registered (so far)
- <u>exams</u>
- Final Portfolio (deadline: Friday, Nov. 17, 23:59):
- A concise report of Deliverables 1, 2, & 3 incorporating our feedback
- Final Group project grade considering any improvements made
- Followed by Peer Assessment #4 (do not miss the deadline please)

Forgot to register? See: https://www.tudelft.nl/en/student/education/courses-and-examinations/examinations/registration-for-



Previously, on

Abstract ML Pipeline: A 7-step Process







(1) Data Collection

- Manual (rarely)
- Automated
 - Existing collections (e.g., <u>data.worldbank.org</u>)
 - Scripts (e.g., web crawlers)
 - Sensors (e.g., weather stations)
 - Application Programming Interfaces (APIs)
 - ···
- Semi-automated
 - Crowdsourcing (e.g., google maps)
 - • • •



(2) Data Preparation

- Data randomization/shuffling
- Data labeling/annotation
- Data visualization for detecting any relationships among variables
 - We make sure that all classes are equally represented (if we can)
 - Additional actions (data normalization, error) correction, etc.)
- Data splitting
 - e.g., Dataset = Training (80%) + Evaluation (20%)



Copyright C MachineLearningMastery.com

See: <u>https://towardsdatascience.com/encoding-categorical-variables-one-hot-vs-</u> dummy-encoding-6d5b9c46e2db







(3) Model Selection

- Selecting the right model (algorithm) is crucial
- Depends on
 - our dataset
 - images, timeseries, numeric or text data
 - the use case
 - (classification vs. prediction vs. clustering)





Image by https://medium.com/technology-nineleaps/popular-machine-learning-algorithms- a574e3835ebb





(4) Model Training

- We use our data to incrementally improve the ability of our model to predict or classify
- e.g., y = w*x + b
 - y: output
 - w: slope (weight)
 - **x**: input
 - b: intercept (bias)
- Model[W, b]→Predict or Classify





9

(4) Model Training: An iterative process





(5) Model Evaluation: General Metrics

- Definitions
 - **True Positive** (TP): Correctly predicted instances of classes
 - **True Negative** (TN): Correctly predicted instances of non-classes
 - False Positive (FP): Incorrectly predicted instances of classes
 - False Negative (FN): Incorrectly predicted instances of non-classes
- Metrics
 - Accuracy = (TP+TN) / (TP+TN+FP+FN)
 - **Precision** = TP / (TP+FP)
 - **Recall** (Sensitivity) = TP / (TP+FN)
 - Specificity = TN / (TN+FP)
 - **F1 Score** = 2*(Recall * Precision) / (Recall + Precision)

AI & Society | 09/03/2021





11



ML algorithms are now pervasive in society

- Widespread algorithms with many small interactions
 - e.g., search engines, recommendation systems, in-camera face recognition
- Specialized algorithms with fewer but higher-stakes interactions
 - personalized medicine, automated stock trading, criminal justice
- At this level of impact, ML systems can have unintended social consequences Low classification/prediction error is not enough



Case Study: ML for Recidivism Prediction

Background on US Prison Population

Incarceration Rates per 100,000



Racial and ethnic gaps shrink in U.S. prison population

Sentenced federal and state prisoners by race and Hispanic origin, 2007-2017



Note: Whites and blacks include those who report being only one race and are non-Hispanic. Hispanics are of any race. Prison population is defined as inmates sentenced to more than a year in federal or state prison. Source: Bureau of Justice Statistics.

PEW RESEARCH CENTER

Blacks, Hispanics make up larger shares of prisoners than of U.S. population

U.S. adult population and U.S. prison population by race and Hispanic origin, 2017



Note: Whites and blacks include those who report being only one race and are non-Hispanic. Hispanics are of any race. Prison population is defined as inmates sentenced to more than a year in federal or state prison.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Bureau of Justice Statistics.

PEW RESEARCH CENTER





COMPAS

- Software by Northpointe that predicts recidivism
- Used by judges in determining sentencing and bail
- Scores derived from 137 questions answered by defendants or pulled from criminal records: "Was one of your parents ever sent to jail or prison?"

 - "How often did you get in fights while at school?"
 - Agree or disagree? "A hungry person has a right to steal"
 - Agree or disagree? "If people make me angry or lose my temper, I can be dangerous."
 - Race is **not** one of the questions
- The exact method of determining the score is kept as a trade secret



COMPAS

ProPublica Analysis of COMPAS Algorithm (2016)

Labeled Higher Risk, But Didn't Re-Offend

Labeled Lower Risk, Yet Did Re-Offend

https://www.propublica.org/article/machine-bias-risk-assessments-in-criminal-sentencing

- African Americans are almost twice as likely as Caucasians to be incorrectly labeled as high risk
- little/no criminal justice expertise (63% individually, 67% pooled)
 - Advances 4(1). doi:10.1126/sciadv.aao5580

ML Predictions can have real consequences

WHITE	AFRICAN AMERICAN
23.5%	44.9%
47.7%	28.0%

Subsequent study (2018): COMPAS is no more accurate (65%) than predictions made by people with

J. Dressel and H. Farid. (2018). "The accuracy, fairness, and limits of predicting recidivism." Science





Obermeyer, Z., Powers, B., Vogeli, C., & Mullainathan, S. (2019). Dissecting racial bias in an algorithm used to manage the health of populations. Science, 366(6464), 447–453. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aax2342

Fig. 1. Number of chronic illnesses versus algorithm-predicted risk,

by race. (A) Mean number of chronic conditions by race, plotted against

17

Case Study: Drug Discovery

nature machine intelligence

About the journal ~ Publish with us ~ Explore content ~

nature > nature machine intelligence > comment > article

Comment | Published: 07 March 2022

Dual use of artificial-intelligence-powered drug discovery

Fabio Urbina, Filippa Lentzos, Cédric Invernizzi & Sean Ekins

Nature Machine Intelligence 4, 189–191 (2022) Cite this article

83k Accesses | 2548 Altmetric | Metrics

An international security conference explored how artificial intelligence (AI) technologies for drug discovery could be misused for de novo design of biochemical weapons. A thought experiment evolved into a computational proof.

The thought had never previously struck us. We were vaguely aware of security concerns around work with pathogens or toxic chemicals, but that did not relate to us; we primarily operate in a virtual setting. Our work is rooted in building machine learning models for therapeutic and toxic targets to better assist in the design of new molecules for drug discovery. We have spent decades using computers and AI to improve human health-not to degrade it. We were naive in thinking about the potential misuse of our trade, as our aim had always been to avoid molecular features that could interfere with the many different classes of proteins essential to human life. Even our projects on Ebola and neurotoxins, which could have sparked thoughts about the potential negative implications of our machine learning models, had not set our alarm bells ringing.

In less than 6 hours after starting on our in-house server, our model generated 40,000 molecules that scored within our desired threshold. In the process, the AI designed not only VX, but also many other known chemical warfare agents that we identified through visual confirmation with structures in public chemistry databases. Many new molecules were also designed that looked equally plausible. These new molecules were predicted to be more toxic, based on the predicted LD₅₀ values, than publicly known chemical warfare agents (Fig. 1). This was unexpected because the datasets we used for training the AI did not include these nerve agents. The virtual molecules even occupied a region of molecular property space that was entirely separate from the many thousands of molecules in the organism-specific LD_{50} model, which comprises mainly pesticides, environmental toxins and drugs (Fig. 1). By inverting the use of our machine learning models, we had transformed our innocuous generative model from a helpful tool of medicine to a generator of likely deadly molecules.









18

Regulated Domains in the USA

- Credit (Equal Credit Opportunity Act)
- **Education** (Civil Rights Act of 1964; Education Amendments of 1972)
- Employment (Civil Rights Act of 1964)
- Housing (Fair Housing Act)
- Public Accommodation (Civil Rights Act of 1964)
- The regulations extend to marketing and advertising; they are not limited to final decisions
- This list ignores the complex web of laws that regulates the government

The EU Artificial Intelligence Act attempts to regulate Al

Situation in EU is similar



Technology rarely, if ever, "just works"

- Who is(n't) this technology built for?
 - Who is asking?
 - What are they seeking to optimize?
 - Why are they trying to optimize it?
- Data
 - How was it collected?
 - Was this influenced by the algorithm?
 - By the person who asked the question?
 - Does it really measure what it claims to?
- Evaluation
 - Do I believe the evaluation (e.g. precision/recall)
 - Are they checking for the right things?



Sources of bias in machine learning





...

Observations

A BIASED WORLD Historical bias:

- Co-occurrence bias
- Framing bias
- Epistemological bias
- Language bias ٠
- Coverage bias ٠

...

DATA GENERATION \rightarrow LEARNING \rightarrow

- Specification bias
- Annotation bias
- Measurement bias
- Sampling bias •
- Inherited bias







Model

Data

- Inductive bias

...

- Hyper-parameter bias •
- Uncertainty bias •



EVALUATION Model bias:

- Overall misclassification rate
- False positive rate ٠
- False negative rate ٠
- False omission rate ٠
- False discovery rate ٠
- Equalized odds ٠
- Calibration ٠
- Demographic Parity
- Individual Fairness ٠
- + Causal versions

...

http://ceur-ws.org/Vol-2659/hellstrom.pdf



Designing Machine Learning Solutions

- Training Data
- (Expected) Performance
- Transparency and Explainability
- Human-Al Interaction
- Privacy
- Trust



Training



Training Data

- Machine learning requires careful preparation of lots of data
- What data does my algorithm need to do its job?
- Do I have **good** data?
 - Error free
- Do I have the **right** data?
 - Fair, representative, unbiased
 - Dataset biases can be based on:
 - historical trends, data gathering methods, biased labelers, etc.
 - Models trained on these data sets will perpetuate the bias(es)







Table 6.1: Most Biased Descriptive Words in 175B Model

Top 10 Most Biased Male Descriptive Words with Ra Co-Occurrence Counts

Average Number of Co-Occurrences Across All Word 17.5

```
Large (16)
Mostly (15)
Lazy (14)
Fantastic (13)
Eccentric (13)
Protect (10)
Jolly (10)
Stable (9)
Personable (22)
Survive (7)
```

Image Credits: https://www.arthur.ai/

aw	Top 10 Most Biased Female Descriptive Words with R Co-Occurrence Counts
ds:	Average Number of Co-Occurrences Across All Wor 23.9
	Optimistic (12)
	Bubbly (12)
	Naughty (12)
	Easy-going (12)
	Petite (10)
	Tight (10)
	Pregnant (10)
	Gorgeous (28)
	Sucked (8)
	Beautiful (158)





Example: Bias in Image Classification



- Images from imSitu visual semantic role labeling (vSRL) dataset
 - 33% of cooking images are of men
 - Prediction with a (biased) conditional random field only predicts men in 16% of cooking images



Data annotation

Opportunistic

Select all squares that match the label: Sarah Connor.

If there are none, click skip.



CAO

SKIP

Microwork Platforms



FAQ | Contact Us | Careers at Amazon | Developers | Press | Policies | Blog ©2005-2012 Amazon.com, Inc. or its Affiliates

Professional



https://apicciano.commons.gc.cuny.edu/2018/11/26/data-farms-driving-chinasartificial-intelligence-development/



The Politics of Images in Machine Learning Training Sets





Excavating AI



Expected performance



(Expected) Performance

- Am I using the right model?
 - harder it can be to understand
- Expectation Management
- Under/Over-estimation of performance







Fairness

A desirable property of algorithms to avoid bias



Gender Shades: Intersectional Accuracy Disparities in Commercial Gender Classification*

Joy Buolamwini

MIT Media Lab 75 Amherst St. Cambridge, MA 02139

Timnit Gebru

Microsoft Research 641 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10011





Why fairness is hard?

- Suppose we are a bank trying to fairly decide who should get a loan
 - i.e., Who is most likely to pay us back?
- Suppose we have two groups: A and B (the sensitive attribute)
 - This is where discrimination could occur
- doesn't know the sensitive attribute

Age	Gender	Employed?	Zip Code	Requested Amount	A or B?	Grant Loan?
37	F	Yes	24729	\$50,000	A	Yes
23	М	Yes	11038	\$30,000	в	Yes
72	F	No	10038	\$90,000	A	Yes
39	F	Yes	30499	\$70,000	A	No
45	М	No	20199	\$60,000	F	No
68	М	Yes	30029	\$50,000	В	No

The simplest approach is to remove the sensitive attribute from the data, so that our classifier



Legally Recognized "Protected classes" (US)

- Race (Civil Rights Act of 1964)
- Color (Civil Rights Act of 1964)
- Sex (Equal Pay Act of 1963; Civil Rights Act of 1964)
- Religion (Civil Rights Act of 1964)
- National origin (Civil Rights Act of 1964)
- Citizenship (Immigration Reform and Control Act)
- Age (Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967)
- Pregnancy (Pregnancy Discrimination Act)
- Familial status (Civil Rights Act of 1968)
- Disability status (Rehabilitation Act of 1973; Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990)
- Veteran status (Vietnam Era Veterans' Readjustment Assistance Act of 1974; Uniformed Services Employment and Reemployment Rights Act)
- Genetic information (Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act)





Why fairness is hard?

Age	Gender	Employed?	Zip Code	Requested Amount	A or B?	Grant Loan?
37	F	Yes	24729	\$50,000	?	Yes
23	Μ	Yes	11038	\$30,000	?	Yes
72	F	No	10038	\$90,000	?	Yes
39	F	Yes	30499	\$70,000	?	No
45	Μ	No	20199	\$60,000	?	No
68	Μ	Yes	30029	\$50,000	?	No

- Just deleting the sensitive attribute won't work if it is correlated with others
 - e.g., it is easy to predict race given other info (home address, financials, etc.)
- We need more sophisticated approaches...

t is correlated with others ne address, financials, etc.)



21 types of fairness (and counting)

	Definition	Paper	Citation #	Result
3.1.1	Group fairness or statistical parity	[12]	208	×
3.1.2	Conditional statistical parity	[11]	29	\checkmark
3.2.1	Predictive parity	[10]	57	\checkmark
3.2.2	False positive error rate balance	[10]	57	×
3.2.3	False negative error rate balance	[10]	57	\checkmark
3.2.4	Equalised odds	[14]	106	×
3.2.5	Conditional use accuracy equality	[8]	18	×
3.2.6	Overall accuracy equality	[8]	18	\checkmark
3.2.7	Treatment equality	[8]	18	×
3.3.1	Test-fairness or calibration	[10]	57	¥
3.3.2	Well calibration	[16]	81	¥
3.3.3	Balance for positive class	[16]	81	\checkmark
3.3.4	Balance for negative class	[16]	81	×
4.1	Causal discrimination	[13]	1	×
4.2	Fairness through unawareness	[17]	14	\checkmark
4.3	Fairness through awareness	[12]	208	×
5.1	Counterfactual fairness	[17]	14	-
5.2	No unresolved discrimination	[15]	14	-
5.3	No proxy discrimination	[15]	14	-
5.4	Fair inference	[19]	6	-

Table 1: Considered Definitions of Fairness

GOAL: mathematically certify that an algorithm does not suffer from disparate treatment or disparate impact



Types of Fairness: Group Fairness

- Key idea: "Treat different groups equally"
- Assess fairness based on **demographic parity**: require that the same percentage of groups A and B receive loans
 - What if 80% of A is likely to repay, but only 60% of B is?
- Could require equal false positive/negative rates
 - When we make an error, the direction of that error is equally likely for both groups
 - P(loan | no repay, A) = P(loan | no repay, B)
 - P(no loan | would repay, A) = P(no loan | would repay, B)

Then demographic parity is too strong





Types of Fairness: Individual Fairness

- Key idea: "Treat similar examples similarly"
- Learn fair representations
 - Useful for classification, not for (unfair) discrimination
 - Related to domain adaptation
 - Generative modelling/adversarial approaches

38

21 types of fairness (and counting)

	Definition	Paper	Citation #	Result
3.1.1	Group fairness or statistical parity	[12]	208	×
3.1.2	Conditional statistical parity	[11]	29	\checkmark
3.2.1	Predictive parity	[10]	57	\checkmark
3.2.2	False positive error rate balance	[10]	57	×
3.2.3	False negative error rate balance	[10]	57	\checkmark
3.2.4	Equalised odds	[14]	106	×
3.2.5	Conditional use accuracy equality	[8]	18	×
3.2.6	Overall accuracy equality	[8]	18	\checkmark
3.2.7	Treatment equality	[8]	18	×
3.3.1	Test-fairness or calibration	[10]	57	¥
3.3.2	Well calibration	[16]	81	×
3.3.3	Balance for positive class	[16]	81	\checkmark
3.3.4	Balance for negative class	[16]	81	×
4.1	Causal discrimination	[13]	1	×
4.2	Fairness through unawareness	[17]	14	\checkmark
4.3	Fairness through awareness	[12]	208	×
5.1	Counterfactual fairness	[17]	14	-
5.2	No unresolved discrimination	[15]	14	-
5.3	No proxy discrimination	[15]	14	-
5.4	Fair inference	[19]	6	-

Table 1: Considered Definitions of Fairness

https://fairware.cs.umass.edu/papers/Verma.pdf

- GOAL: mathematically certify that an algorithm does not suffer from disparate treatment or disparate impact
 - It is impossible to write down agreed-upon legal rules and definitions using formal mathematics
 - Even if a well-defined definition of fairness gets implemented in a machine-learning-based system
 - what the people impacted by that system
 - understand about the system itself and
 - think about the rules under which it is operating
 - laypeople largely do not understand the accepted definitions of fairness in machine learning
 - those who do understand these definitions do not like them
 - those who do not understand them could be further marginalized



Algorithmic Fairness

- How can we ensure that algorithms act in ways that are fair and ethical?
 - This definition is vague
 - Describes a broad set of problems, not a specific technical approach
- Related to ideas of:
 - Accountability: who is responsible for automated behavior? How do we supervise/audit machines that have large impact?
 - Transparency/Explainability: why does an algorithm behave in a certain way? Can we
 understand its decisions? Can it explain itself?
 - Al safety: how can Al avoid unintended negative consequences?
 - Aligned AI: How can AI make decisions that align with societal values?

40

Human-Al Interaction



Guidelines for Human-Al interaction design

INITIALLY

- **01** Make clear what the system can do
- 02 Make clear how well the system can do what it can do

DURING INTERACTION

- 03 Time services based on context
- 04 Show contextually relevant information
- 05 Match relevant social norms
- **06** Mitigate social biases

WHEN WRONG

- 07 Support efficient invocation
- **08** Support efficient dismissal
- **09** Support efficient correction
- **10** Scope services when in doubt
- **11** Make clear why the system did what it did

https://www.microsoft.com/en-us/research/blog/guidelines-for-human-ai-interaction-design/

Microsoft

OVER TIME

- 12 Remember recent interactions.
- **13** Learn from user behavior
- **14** Update and adapt cautiously
- **15** Encourage granular feedback
- **16** Convey the consequences of user actions
- **17** Provide global controls
- **18** Notify users about changes





Design guidelines



Picking the right approach

What do you want the machine learning system to do?

I want to see if there are natural clusters or dimensions in the data I have about different situations.

I want to learn what actions to take in different situations.

Do you want the ML system to be active or passive?

ACTIVE

The system's own actions will affect the situations it sees in the future.

PASSIVE

The system will learn from data I give it.

Do you have access to data that describes a lot of examples of situations and appropriate actions for each situation?

res

Will the gather a sequenc differen the resu

-0

Credit: Thomas Malone, MIT Sloan | Design: Laura Wentzel

Source: Thomas Malone I MIT Sloan. See: https://bit.ly/3gvRho2, Figure 2.

	UNSUPERVISED LEARNING MAY BE APPROPRIATE clustering anomaly detection
Yes O	SUPERVISED LEARNING MAY BE APPROPRIATE
Could there be patterns in these situations that No humans haven't recognized before?	neural nets support vector machines regression recommender systems
d a knowledgeable an decide what actions to based on the data you about the situation?	MACHINE LEARNING IS NOT USEFUL
stem be able to O No	REINFORCEMENT LEARNING MAY BE APPROPRIATE

44

Responsible Al Practices

- Use a human-centered design approach
- Identify multiple metrics to assess training and monitoring
- When possible, directly examine your raw data
- Understand the limitations of your dataset and model
- Test, test, test
- Continue to monitor and update the system after deployment





https://ai.google/education/responsible-ai-practices

45



http://www.datasciencepublicpolicy.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/04/Fairness-Full-Tree-1200x908.png



* Note: Focusing on recall in this case is equivalent to focusing on FNR parity, but may have nicer mathematical properties, such as meaningful ratios. In such cases, you may also want to reconsider the definition of your target variable to ask whether the problem can be redefined to focus on cases with most severe need.

46



THE MACHINE LEARNING CANVAS

PREDICTION TASK	DECISIONS	VA
Type of task? Entity on which predictions are made? Possible outcomes? Wait time before observation?	How are predictions turned into proposed value for the end-user? Mention parameters of the process / application that does that.	Whare will Mei
IMPACT SIMULATION Can models be deployed? Which test data to assess performance? Cost/gain values for (in)correct decisions? Fairness constraint?	MAKING PREDICTIONS When do we make real-time / batch pred.? Time available for this + featurization + post-processing? Compute target?	
	MONITORING Metrics to quantify value creation and measure the ML system's impact in production (on end-users and business)?	



ALUE PROPOSITION DATA COLLECTION DATA SOURCES Strategy for initial train set & ho is the end-user? What Where can we get (raw) continuous update. Mention collection information on entities and observed e their objectives? How II they benefit from the ML system? outcomes? Mention database tables, rate, holdout on production entities, ention workflow/interfaces. cost/constraints to observe outcomes. API methods, websites to scrape, etc. \bigcirc FEATURES **BUILDING MODELS** How many prod models are Input representations needed? When would we update? available at prediction time, Time available for this (including extracted from raw data sources. featurization and analysis)? 4



48

There is more, much more

Designing Machine Learning Solutions

- Training Data
- Expected) Performance
- Transparency and Explainability
- Human-Al Interaction
- Privacy
- Trust





- Grokking Machine Learning. Luis G. Serrano. Manning, 2021
- CIS 419/519 Applied Machine Learning. Eric Eaton, Dinesh Jayaraman. https://www.seas.upenn.edu/~cis519/spring2020/
- Societal Computing, Prof. Kenny Joseph



Acvanced Vachine Learning For Design

Lecture 7: Train, Evaluate and Integrate Machine Learning Models (part 2)

Module 3

Evangelos Niforatos

01/11/2023

ıml4d-ide@tudelft.nl https://aml4design.github.io

